

Summary from the Japanese Retrograde Summit Registry from 2009

Etsuo Tsuchikane, MD, PhD Toyohashi Heart Center, Japan



Retrograde Summit

Society for the study of retrograde approach since 2009

More than 25 Japanese centers involved

Evaluation of retrograde approach from registry

Prospective study regarding retrograde approach



Clinical Results of Retrograde Approach in Japan

Japanese Registry Data from Retrograde Summit < Comparison between 2009-2011 >

Enrollment



January 2009 -

December 2011

Enrolling Centers: 28

Elective PCI cases 42,292

> CTO cases 4.431 (10.5%)

Retrograde Approach cases 1.166 (26.3%)



(CCI 2013;82:E654-61)

Collateral Crossing

Attempted Collateral Channel and Corsair usage







Collateral Crossing

Successfully crossed in 300 (82.2%) cases



Number of GW : 1.9



JCR 2013

(CCI 2013;82:E654-61)



CTO Crossing Successful strategy



Kissing Wire
TechniqueCART2.2%2.2%0.1%0.1%Wire Cross0.1%30.7%Reverse
CART56.9%

Patterns of Success in Retrograde Approach



JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2011







	2009 (378)	2010 (423)	2011 (365)
Contrast dose, ml	315.7 ± 138.7	299.2 ± 135.9	291.4 ± 127.1
Procedure time, min	203.3 ± 84.4	187.9 ± 84.1	190.9 ± 80.9
Fluoroscopic time, min	98.7 ± 54.9	91.9±49.0	94.3 ± 43.2
Air Kerma, mGy	-	6,564±5,169	6,593±4,569





Procedure success (overall)







Collateral crossing and retrograde success



(CCI 2013;82:E654-61)



Multivariate Analysis

Independent predictors of retrograde success

	Odds ratio	95% CI	Р
Use of Corsair	1.785	1.291-2.469	0.0005
Age \geq 65 years old	0.607	0.441-0.837	0.0021
Calcification at CTO site	0.674	0.489-0.928	0.0149

JCR 2013

(CCI 2013;82:E654-61)





Procedure success (overall)





Antegrade Procedure Outcome after unsuccessful retrograde procedure

	2009	2010	Р
Retrograde success	70.4% (266/378)	71.9% (304/423)	0.64
Switched to antegrade	78.6% (88/112)	75.6% (90/119)	0.60
Antegrade success	59.1% (52/88)	63.3% (57/90)	0.56



WEB Registry started at 2012

		4	·注意::	ネデータペースは	2012年版	です。					
	ALT	,	etrograde 6. 有害事象	₹•MACCE			前のへ	ページ 次のページ	登録清症例一日	t 新規登録 ログオフ	,
*注意:本データペースは	2012年版です。		この症例を解決				IV	ŀY∼Na. 830	PCI動行日	2012/10/02	
Retro rade <u>5-2. レトログレードアブ</u>	ローチ施行の場合	<u>a</u> ,						<u>*MACCEは有害</u>	<u>事象③の下に記</u>	入してください。	ナビケージョン
200 元 月256年			有害事象①					而於安不堪之。			1.症例基本情報① 2.症例基本情報②
<u>手技かアンテクレートアプロー</u>	<u>チのみで完産(もしく)</u>	<u>は中止)し;</u>	有害事象①					血性化のなっ・			3.病変背景 4.基本手枝情報
<コラテラルアプローチに関する	手技情報>		その他: 発生ロ			_	<u>→</u> 心タンボ	ナーテの場合:			S-1.アンテグレードのみ S-2.レトログレード胞行
・ レトログレードアプロー チ選択理由	● 最初からしトロで開始 ● 前回アンテ不成功の:	3 今回, 本 前回	元王口 街山,街後			_					5-3.しトログレード不成功 ⇒アンテ施行
と 佐田 トロンサイト トラニ 本研研		4 * * * *	お子にわる盾因		甘林佐史	個務院	常刻小同比相用	「言法處に使用」	九匠病綱聖		6.有害事象•MACCE 7.Fallow-up
他用したはWり ホートカテ の種類	_ Corsair _ Corsair⊯⊘	*00/JF = 5	-57CD1100/#A		至姬沃思。	师光征	の 発用IVD 画ITF/市	「「治療に使用し	/ 武力(1)(法)(活)(活)		8. Angiagraphic fallow-
ABIERCEAK WATCH 22 TUT	□ Ipsilateral (Septal to S	Septal) 🔲 🗖	,					*MACCEは有害	事象③の下に記。	入してください。	
	🗌 Ipsilateral (Kugel)	2	有害事象②								_
・ GWIによるチャンネルクロス	●成功 ●不成功	"不成功" の場	有害事象①				→ステント1	血栓症の場合:			
* 最终的に通過成功したコラテルート	© Septal	B	その他:				→ 心タンボ	ナーデの場合:			
	 Ipsilateral (Septal to S Ipsilateral (Kuzel) 	Septal) = E <mark>l</mark>	発生日								
	Cipsilateral (Adgel)	64	術中·術後	■術中 ■術後							
・ チャンネルクロスしたGW			考えられる原因	●PCI合併症 ●ま	基礎疾患 ∈	偶発症(■薬剤の副作用	◎治療に使用し	た医療機器		
・ チャンネルクロスに要したGWの本数								*MACCELT 有害	事象のの下に記	λしてください。	
チャンネルクロスしたサポートカテーテル	Corsair Corsair以ら	外のカテーテ	ルーotwnルーン			長した時間"	(15分以内)	- MIRCOLLA H A	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
* バルーンによるチャンネルの拡張	●実施した●試みず(■試みたが不	ान			<u> </u>	1				
"・ チャンネルクロス時の合併症	■なし ■GWIによる合併	¥症 □カテー	テル通過や拡張に伴う合併	症 □その他*		Septal Surfir 合併結有無	はトライ時の				
	*その他						■ <u>α</u> υ				
	合併症への対処 その他のも	四対応 │● 経 _{8 本}	過観察のみ ◎その他⇒記〉					枝に対する再POI	- 脳卒中(出血性)	LST(definite)	LST(possible)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	200/12034	····						枝に対する再PCI	☑脳卒中(非出血性)	LST(probable)	再入院
くいし部位に関する手技情報>											
逆行性GWIこよるCTO bodyへのentry	●あり●なし	"不通過"の場	合は、ページ下のアンテグレードへ	の手枝変更有無を必ず、	入力してください	<b>1</b>					
**- CTO部のGW通過方法	CART reverse	CART con	ventional wiring*   一不通過								
				··· CCS分	類 000	ICI © I	[●Ⅳ●不明				
				"有害事	像 のあり	■なし					
				[™] ありの場	合 二心臓	т □сав	G 🗌	同枝に対する再PCI	□脳卒中(出血性)	LST(definite)	LST(possible)
					■非心	雌死 □同C1	roli対する再PCI 🗌	別枝に対する再PCI	- 脳卒中(非出血性)	LST(probable)	再入院

# Enrollment



#### Registered Hospitals (in order with entry number)

Sakurabashi Watanabe Hospital	106 Nagoya Daini Red Cross Hospital
Saiseikai Yokohamash 🗖 👘 😳 👘	
Sapporo Cardio Vascul	
Toyohashi Heart Cento	
Saitama Cardiovascula Jai	n 2012 – Dec 2012
Takase Clinic	
Saitama Sekishinkai H	mbor of registry 1572
The Cardiovascular Ins Ine nu	mber of registry: 1575
Seirei Hamamatsu Gei	
Higashi Takarazuka Sa	itory Center
Shinkoga Hospital Regi	stered Hospital : 44
Sanda City Hospital	
Nagoya Heart Center	
Edogawa Hospital	
Hokkaido Social Insurance Hospital	41 NTT East Sapporo Hospital
Nagoya Tokushukai Hospital	41 Osaka Saiseikai Izuo Hospital
Shiga Medical Center for Adults	35 Tokushima Red Cross Hospital
Hoshi General Hospital	33 Iwate Prefectural Central Hospital
Kakogawa East City Hospital	30 Hokusetsu General Hospital
Kusatsu Heart Center	29 Toho University Omori Medical Center
Kushiro City General Hospital	29 Osaki Citizen Hospital
JCRk20M3emorial Hospital	28 Ohta Nishinouchi Hospital



## **Retrograde Summit registry data**





## **Registry Data**

#### Jan - Dec 2012

	Total (1573)	Antegrade alone (1080)	Retrograde (493)	P value
Re-attempt cases	11.8%	6.8%	22.7%	<0.0001
<ul> <li>Previous attempt by Antegrade</li> <li>Previous attempt by Retrograde</li> <li>Detail of previous strategy : NA</li> </ul>	79.3% 15.1% 5.6%	81.4% 14.3% 4.3%	78.0% 15.6% 6.4%	0.9226
<ul> <li>Reason of previous failure</li> <li>Failure to cross CTO by GW</li> <li>Failure to cross collateral by GW</li> <li>Delivery failure of treatment device</li> <li>NA</li> </ul>	87.7% 1.2% 5.6% 5.6%	82.8% 1.7% 8.6% 6.9%	90.4% 1.0% 3.9% 4.8%	0.5236



## **Patient characteristics (1)**

	Antegrade alone	Retrograde	P value
Age, yo	$68.0 \pm 10.5$	67.2±9.9	0.1353
Male	81.9%	84.6%	0.2015
Family history of CAD	17.3%	15.9%	0.5751
Previous MI	36.5%	44.9%	0.0019
Previous CABG	5.8%	14.3%	<0.0001
Previous PCI	57.3%	66.1%	0.0010
<ul> <li># of vessel disease</li> <li>- 1-vessel</li> <li>- 2-vessel</li> <li>- 3-vessel</li> </ul>	35.4% 39.9% 24.7%	36.4% 34.7% 28.9%	0.0955
Hypertension	80.2%	79.8%	0.8648
Diabetes	41.7%	46.0%	0.1178
Hyperlipidemia	69.8%	70.6%	0.7298



## **Patient characteristics (2)**

	Antegrade alone	Retrograde	P value
Smoker	45.5%	53.2%	0.0066
Unstable angina	9.1%	7.3%	0.2427
CCS classification - 0 - I - II - III - IV	30.3% 28.4% 31.5% 7.3% 2.5%	32.5% 30.2% 30.2% 5.5% 1.7%	0.4768
NYHA classification - I - II - III - IV	59.1% 28.7% 7.6% 4.7%	57.1% 30.0% 7.3% 5.7%	0.9060
Pre Creatinine >2.5mg/dl	6.8%	7.9%	0.4062
LVEF <35%	8.8%	12.3%	0.0336



## Lesion characteristics (1)

	Antegrade alone	Retrograde	P value	
Target vessel - RCA	39.7%	61.5%		
- LAD	34.5%	26.4%	<0.0001	
- LCx	25.7%	11.6%	<0.0001	
- LMT	0.1%	0.6%		
Reference diameter	2.9±0.5mm	3.1±0.5mm	<0.0001	
Occlusion length	22.9±15.3mm	33.1±21.3mm	<0.0001	
ISR-CTO	17.5%	9.8%	<0.0001	
Occlusion period $\geq$ 1 year	5.8%	14.7%	<0.0001	
Occlusion period = NA	83.1%	75.2%	<0.0001	
Collateral filling grade			0.0044	
- CC 0	10.7%	5.7%		
- CC 1	57.2%	54.7%		
- CC 2	32.1%	39.6%		



### Lesion characteristics (2)





### **Approach : Antegrade alone**

#### **Guiding catheter size**

#### **Puncture site**





### **Approach : Retrograde**

#### Guiding catheter size



#### **Puncture site**



# Antegrade alone (n= 1080)



0%

3.9%

Very hard

10.1%

**Unpass to CTO** 

8.9%

Complication

1.3%

other





### Retrograde (n=480*)

*13 data were excluded from detailed analysis due to short of data





# **Retrograde: Collateral approach**

#### Attempt





#### Retrograde: CTO Crossing Successful strategy

#### Patterns of Success in Retrograde Approach



JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2011

#### CTO cross by GW, 67.9% (326/480)



**IVUS was used in 69% of Reverse CART** 



## **Retrograde: CTO Crossing**

#### Antegrade wire Retrograde wire



How to build antegrade system			
Externalization	89.3%		
Antegrade parallel wire	7.3%		
Rendez-vous	3.5%		



# **Retrograde: Procedure outcome (1)**

		N=480*
—	Retrograde procedure success	65.6% (315)

#### **Reason of retrograde procedure failure**

- Couldn't cross collateral channel
- Couldn't cross CTO by GW
- Couldn't cross CTO by any catheter
- Procedure discontinuation due to complication





**Retrograde failure cases (n=165)** 





Failure reason	N=42
Couldn't cross CTO by guidewire	88.1% (37)
Couldn't cross CTO by any catheter	7.1% (3)
Procedure discontinuation due to complication	4.8% (2)



### **Procedure outcome**

	Total (1573)	Antegrade alone (1080)	Retrograde (493)	P value
Successful CTO crossing by GW	89.8%	92.1%	84.8%	<0.0001
Number of guidewire used for CTO approach	3.3±2.3	2.5±1.5	5.1±2.7	<0.0001
Number of micro/balloon catheter	2.9±2.2	2.5±1.7	3.9±2.7	<0.0001
Number of stent	$1.7 \pm 1.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.9$	$2.2 \pm 1.5$	<0.0001
Procedure success	88.6%	91.1%	83.0%	<0.0001
Procedure time, min	$141.2 \pm 87.2$	$112.3 \pm 67.2$	$202.3 \pm 92.9$	< 0.0001
Contrast dose, ml	$227.2 \pm 107.9$	$207.6 \pm 95.2$	$268.6 \pm 120.8$	<0.0001
Fluoroscopy time, min	$72.6 \pm 188.0$	$62.0 \pm 226.0$	94.5±45.8	0.0034



### **Procedure success for each strategy**



Immediately after <u>antegrade failure</u> (n=228)
 if these are counted as Antegrade group,
 antegrade procedure success would fall to <u>78.1%</u> (1021/1308)

## MACCE



	Total (1573)	Antegrade alone (1080)	Retrograde (493)	P value
MACCE	0.6% (10)	0.4% (4)	1.2% (6)	0.05
- Cardiac death	0.2% (3)	0.3% (3)	0	
- Non cardiac death	0.1% (1)	0	0.2% (1)	
- MI	0.3% (4)	0	0.8% (4)	
- Stroke / non-bleeding	0.1% (2)	0.1% (1)	0.2% (1)	

### **Adverse Events**



	Total (1573)	Antegrade alone (1080)	Retrograde (480*)	P value
Adverse events	1.5% (23)	0.8% (9)	2.9% (14)	0.0375
- Stent thrombosis	0.2% (3")	0.1% (1)	0.4% (2)	
- Cardiac tamponade	0.3% (5)	0.1% (1)	0.8% (4*)	
- Contrast induced nephropathy	0.1% (2)	0.1% (1)	0.2% (1)	
- Trouble at puncture site	0.4% (6)	0.3% (3)	0.6% (3)	
<ul> <li>Symptomatic cerebrovascular disease</li> </ul>	0.1% (2)	0.1% (1)	0.2% (1)	
- Blood transfusion	0.1% (2)	0	0.4% (2*)	
- Other	0.2% (3)	0.2% (2)	0.2% (1)	

": 1 patient caused MI

* : same patients



# **Other procedural complications**

	Antegrade alone (1080)	Retrograde (480*)
- Coronary perforation	0.6% (6)	1.8% (9)
- Dissection	0.1% (1)	1.2% (6)
- Distal Embolization	0.1% (1)	0.4% (2)
- Side branch occlusion	0.1% (1)	0
- Hematoma	0	0.4% (2)
- GW fracture	0	0.4% (2)


# Retrograde approach relevant complications

Including minor events

	N=480*
Retrograde approach relevant	12.1% (58)
- Channel injury	11.3% (54)
Additional treatment required	3.5% (17)
Cardiac tamponade	0.6% (3)
- Donor artery trouble	0.2% (1)
- Other events	0.6% (3)

# Follow-up: **Changes of CCS classification**



300 250 200 CCS || 150 100 CCS 0 50 0 **Before PCI** 1 month 6 month

(N = 261)



- Corsair has standardized and facilitated retrograde approach, however overall success rate has not yet improved.
- Collateral channel crossing is the key for procedural success.
   First, case selection. Second, good wire for channel crossing.
- Calcified occlusion still remains as a major obstacle even if we have retrograde approach.

Outcomes of antegrade approach after retrograde approach must be unsatisfactory.





### **New Guide Wire for Collateral Channel Tracking**

### ASAHI SION, SION blue (ASAHI Intecc)



### *"Composite core"* **Double coil design**



- Durable tip
- <u>High torque response</u>

- tip load ; SION 0.7g, SION blue 0.5g
- 0.014" diameter design
- 28cm Hydrophilic coating





### New X-treme XT-R <Revolution>

ASAHI intecc; Japan



New Fielder XT with "composite core" design
Durable & Flexible 0.010" tip – Tip load = 0.6gf
High torque performance for retro/antegrade approach



- Corsair has standardized and facilitated retrograde approach, however overall success rate has not yet improved.
- Collateral channel crossing is the key for procedural success.
   First, case selection. Second, good wire for channel crossing.
- Calcified occlusion still remains as a major obstacle even if we have retrograde approach.
  - Maybe RF energy in future. Already Bridge Point system.
- Outcomes of antegrade approach after retrograde approach must be unsatisfactory.





### **The BridgePoint System**

### **CrossBoss CTO Catheter**



### **Stingray CTO Re-Entry System**













- Corsair has standardized and facilitated retrograde approach, however overall success rate has not yet improved.
- Collateral channel crossing is the key for procedural success.
   First, case selection. Second, good wire for channel crossing.
- Calcified occlusion still remains as a major obstacle even if we have retrograde approach.
  - Maybe RF energy in future. Already Bridge Point system.
- Outcomes of antegrade approach after retrograde approach must be unsatisfactory.
  - Antegrade manner must be improved by new wire technology.







Long hydrophilic coating that enhance the smooth controllability in micro catheter.









# **Difference in torque response**















### Wire used for CTO crossing

Before June 2012

After June 2012





### Wire used for CTO crossing in 2013



#### JCR 2013

<u>CTO</u>





Retrograde approach procedural success will increase in association with case selection and development of dedicated devices.

However, long-term clinical outcomes comparing the various retrograde strategies including CART technique which carries the chance of subintimal tracking, to those of the antegrade approach, have yet to be adequately evaluated.



#### Subintimal Guidewire Tracking During Successful Percutaneous Therapy for Chronic Coronary Total Occlusions: Insights from an Intravascular Ultrasound Analysis

Kamran I. Muhammad,¹ мд, William L. Lombardi,² мд, Ryan Christofferson,³ мд, and Patrick L. Whitlow,^{1*} мд

> Objectives: We sought to determine the frequency of subintimal guidewire tracking during successful percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for chronic coronary total occlusions (CTOs) and to better understand the procedural implications of this event. Background: Successful PCI for chronic CTO is associated with improved outcomes in patients with ischemia. While subintimal guidewire tracking resulting in failure to cross is recognized as the major mode of failure for CTO PCI, the implications of subintimal guidewire tracking during successful CTO PCI are unknown. Methods: Between March 2007 and October 2007, 26 consecutive patients, each with one de-novo CTO lesion successfully crossed with a guidewire were included in the analysis. Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) was performed in each CTO vessel after guidewire crossing. Cases were classified as having definite subintimal wire tracking or no clear evidence of subintimal wire tracking based on analysis of IVUS images. Results: Subintimal wire tracking occurred in 45% of cases. In cases where subintimal wire tracking was present, a previous attempt at CTO PCI was more common (42% vs. 7%, P < 0.05). Subintimal wire tracking was also associated with significantly longer final mean stent length (71 vs. 50 mm), procedure time (122 vs. 69 min), fluoroscopy time (47 vs. 22 min), and contrast dose (300 vs. 199 mL, P < 0.05 for all). There was one perforation in the subintimal group which was successfully treated with stent placement. Conclusions: Subintimal wire tracking occurs frequently during successful PCI for CTO and is associated with increased lesion and procedural complexity. © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



- 26 CTO lesions successfully treated by a single operator
- 4 lesions by retrograde approach
- Subintimal tracking in 45% (12/26)
- Subintimal tracking was more common in reattempted case (45% vs. 7%), associated with longer stent length (71 vs. 50 mm), procedural time (122 vs. 69 min), fluoroscopy time (47 vs. 22 min), and contrast dose (300 vs. 199 mL).
  - No long-term data available

*(CCI 2012;79:43-48)* 



#### Intravascular Ultrasound Comparison of the Retrograde Versus Antegrade Approach to Percutaneous Intervention for Chronic Total Coronary Occlusions

Kenichi Tsujita, MD, PHD,* Akiko Maehara, MD,* Gary S. Mintz, MD,* Takashi Kubo, MD, PHD,* Hiroshi Doi, MD, PHD,* Alexandra J. Lansky, MD,* Gregg W. Stone, MD,* Jeffrey W. Moses, MD,* Martin B. Leon, MD,* Masahiko Ochiai, MD, PHD†

New York, New York; and Yokobama, Japan

Objectives We sought to evaluate the results of the antegrade versus retrograde chronic total occlusion (CTO) technique with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) imaging.

Background The most common failure mode of CTO interventions remains the inability to successfully cross the occlusion with a guidewire. Recently, the retrograde approach through collateral channels has been introduced to cross complex CTOs.

Methods Between October 2002 and April 2008, NUS was performed in 48 de novo CTO lesions after guidewire crossing  $\pm$  pre-dilation with a 1.5- to 2.0-mm balloon. Twenty-three lesions were treated via the antegrade approach (Ante), and 25 lesions were treated via the retrograde approach (Retro).

**Results** Right coronary artery (RCA) CTOs were treated more frequently via the Retro technique. Although the CTO length was much longer in the Retro group (45  $\pm$  26 mm vs. 18  $\pm$  9 mm, p < 0.0001), at the end of the procedure Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction flow grade 3 was obtained in all patients. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in minimum stent area and stent expansion. However, the incidence of the composite end point—subintimal wiring, angiographic extravasation, coronary hematoma, or IVUS-detected coronary perforation—was higher in the Retro group (68% vs. 30%, p = 0.01); and the guidewire was more often subintimal in the Retro group (40% vs. 9%, p = 0.02).

Conclusions The retrograde approach is a promising option for complex CTO segments, especially long RCA CTOs. Intravascular ultrasound can be a useful tool for the detection of procedure-related useful damage and subjectional wire tracking. (I Am Coll Cardiol Juty 2009;2845–541 p. 2009 by the

◆ 48 CTO lesions successfully treated by a single operator

• 25 lesions by retrograde approach

Subintimal tracking in more common in retrograde approach (40 vs. 9%)

No long-term data available

(JACC Intv 2009;2:846-54)



#### Predictors of Reocclusion After Successful Drug-Eluting Stent–Supported Percutaneous Coronary Intervention of Chronic Total Occlusion

Renato Valenti, MD, Ruben Vergara, MD, Angela Migliorini, MD, Guido Parodi, MD, Nazario Carrabba, MD, Giampaolo Cerisano, MD, Emilio Vincenzo Dovellini, MD, David Antoniucci, MD

Florence, Italy

Objectives	This study sought to assess the incidence of reocclusion and identification of predictors of angiographic failure after successful chronic total occlusion (CTO) drug-eluting stent-supported percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).
Background	Large registries have shown a survival benefit in patients with successful CTO PCI. Intuitively, sustained vessel patency may be considered as a main variable related to long-term survival. Very few data exist about the anglo graphic outcome after successful CTO PCI.
Methods	The Florence CTO PCI registry started in 2003 and included consecutive patients treated with drug-eluting stents for at least 1 CTO (>3 months). The protocol treatment included routine 6- to 9-month angiographic follow-up. Clinical, angiographic, and procedural variables were included in the model of multivariable binary logistic re- gression analysis for the identification of the predictors of reocclusion.
Results	From 2003 to 2010, 1,035 patients underwent PCI for at least 1 CTO. Of these, 802 (77%) had a successful PCI. The angiographic follow-up rate was 82%. Reocclusion rate was 7.5%, whereas binary restenosis ( $>50\%$ ) or reocclusion rate was 20%. Everolimus-eluting stents were associated with a significantly lower reocclusion rate than were other drug-eluting stents (3.0% vs. 10.1%; p = 0.001). A successful subinitimal tracking and re-entry technique was associated with a 57% of reocclusion rate. By multivariable analysis, the subinitimal tracking and re-entry technique (odds ratio [0R]: 29.5; p < 0.001) and everolimus-eluting stents (0R: 0.22; p = 0.001) were independently related to the risk of reocclusion.
Conclusions	Successful CTO-PCI supported by everolimus-eluting stents is associated with a very high patency rate. Success-

- ◆ 802 CTO lesions successfully treated
  - ▶ 1st generation DES in 66%, EES in 34%
- ▶ 34 lesions (4.2%) by STAR technique; EES in 16 lesions (47%)
- Angiographic follow-up in 616 (82%)
- Reocclusion in 7.5% (46/616); higher in 1st generation DES (10.1 vs. 3%), and in STAR technique (57% vs. 5.7%)
- Independent predictors of reocclusion were EES (OR: 0.22) and STAR technique (OR: 29.5)
   (JACC 2013;61:545-50)





# Our Questions About the Subintimal Tracking

1. How often in the contemporary CTO-PCI?

2. Any effect of short subintimal tracking on long-term outcomes after DES?





### J-PROCTOR REGISTRY PROMUS STENT TREATMENT OF CHRONIC TOTAL OCCLUSIONS USING TWO DIFFERENT RECANALIZATION TECHNIQUES IN JAPAN



Study Design Flow Chart

**CTO Cases** 



 ✓ Primary Endpoint: 12 mo. TVR
 ✓ Secondary Endpoint: 12 mo. MACE and Fu QCA parameters

Annegrane 20 . Activgrane 100

**PROMUS Stent Implantation** 

9 mo. Angiogram FU

12 mo. Clinical FU





# Study Design Definition of GW positioning by IVUS

Intimal Plaque Tracking

If the IVUS catheter was in the intimal plaque, yet surrounded by dissection with/without hematoma.

Sub-Intimal Tracking

If the IVUS catheter was located in a dissection plane outside of intimal plaque but inside of EEM, even when it was localized.

# IVUS Image Intimal vs. Sub-Intimal Tracking

Retrograde Summit



a = IVUS catheter, b = Sub-Intimal space, c = the Intimal Plaque





# Study Organization

Principal Investigator

Etsuo Tsuchikane, MD, PhD (Toyohashi Heart Center)

Clinical sites

27 Hospitals in Japan

□ Safety Committee

Hiroshi Oota, MD (Itabashi-chuo Hospital)

□ QCA and IVUS Core Laboratory;

Cardiovascular Imaging Core Laboratory (CICL)

□ Sponsor

Retrograde Summit





## **Baseline Patient Characteristics**

	Ante 59	Retro 104	p value
Male	86.4%	89.4%	0.62
Age (years)	65.4 ±10.4	65.6 ±10.6	0.95
Previous MI	30.5%	44.2%	0.10
Previous CABG	6.8%	12.5%	0.30
Hypertension	64.4%	69.2%	0.60
Diabetes mellitus	37.3%	33.7%	0.73
Hyperlipidemia	62.7%	76.9%	0.07
Smoking	22.0%	13.5%	0.19
Average diseased vessel	$1.9 \pm 0.8$	$1.8 \pm 0.8$	0.70
Multi vessel disease	61.0%	56.7%	0.62





# Lesion Characteristics

	Ante 59	Retro 104	p value
Calcification	67.8%	69.2%	0.86
Proximal tortuosity	33.9%	45.2%	0.19
Bending (>45)	3.4%	6.7%	0.49
Bifurcation	33.9%	29.8%	0.60
Occlusion length, mm	13.7±12.0	22.9±16.7	0.001
Reference diameter, mm	2.72±0.43	2.96±0.43	0.001
Reattempt	5.1%	27.9%	< 0.0001
Bridge collateral	47.4%	45.5%	0.87







# PCI Procedure

	Ante 59	Retro 104	p value
Number of GW	2.5±1.8	4.7±2.2	0.024
IVUS guided wiring	6.8%	60.6%	< 0.0001
Number of stent	$1.9 {\pm} 0.9$	$2.8 \pm 1.0$	< 0.0001
Maximum stent diameter, mm	3.00±0.39	3.13±0.39	0.035
Stent length, mm	41.2±20.6	59.6±23.5	< 0.0001
Maximum stent pressure, atm	12.2±3.3	13.9±3.3	0.0020





### Procedure Results

	Ante 59	Retro 104	p value
Procedure time, min	105.2±60.1	187.7±81.9	< 0.0001
Contrast dose, ml	226.8±111.0	291.6±133.8	0.0019
Fluoroscopic time, min	46.1±35.6	87.8±44.1	< 0.0001
Procedure success	59 (100%)	104 (100%)	1.00
Procedure events	5.1% (3)	7.7% (8)	0.75
- GW perforation	5.1% (3)	5.8% (6)	1.00
- Channel injury	-	1.9% (2)	
- Donor artery trouble	-	0%	
In hospital MACE	0%	0%	1.00
Non Q wave MI	1 (1.7%)	2 (1.9%)	1.00



### Retrograde Procedure Patterns of Success





JACC. Cardiovasc Interv 2011;4:941-51





### IVUS ANALYSIS RESULTS



### Results Acute IVUS classification







# Lesion Characteristics by IVUS classification



	Intimal 125	Sub-Intimal 31	p value
Calcification	65.6%	83.9%	0.05
Proximal tortuosity	35.2%	54.8%	0.06
Bending (>45)	6.4%	3.2%	0.69
Bifurcation	34.4%	22.6%	0.28
Occlusion length, mm	18.5±14.8	23.9±20.5	0.14
Reference diameter, mm	$2.82 \pm 0.43$	$3.02 \pm 0.44$	0.020
Reattempt	16.8%	32.3%	0.08
Bridge collateral	40.0%	61.3%	0.044


# Procedure Results by IVUS classification



	Intimal 125	Sub-Intimal 31	p value
Procedure time, min	155.9 ±85.7	171.7 ±84.4	0.36
Contrast dose, ml	264.4 ±120.6	$282.0 \pm 170.7$	0.51
Fluoroscopic time, min	69.7 ±45.2	85.3 ±47.3	0.10
Procedure events	5.6% (7)	9.7% (3)	0.42
- GW perforation	5.6% (7)	3.2% (1)	1.00
- Channel injury	0%	6.5% (2)	0.0385
- Donor artery trouble	0%	0%	
In hospital MACE	0%	0%	1.00
Non Q wave MI	1.6% (2)	3.2% (1)	0.49





### 12-MONTH FU CLINICAL RESULTS



### MACE at 12 months Antegrade vs. Retrograde



(Fu rate: 100%)

	Ante (59)	<b>Retro</b> (104)	p value
MACE	6.8% (4)	13.5 % (14)	0.30
TVR	6.8% (4)	12.5 % (13)	0.30
MI	0%	0%	
Cardiac death	0%	0%	
Non-Cardiac death	0%	1.0% (1*)	1.00
SAT/LT	0%	0%	



### TVR at 12 months Antegrade (Intimal vs. Sub-intimal) Retrograde (Intimal vs. Sub-intimal)









### QCA RESULTS



# Acute QCA Results Intimal vs. Sub-Intimal



	Intimal (125)	Sub-Intimal (31)	p value
Pre Procedure			
RVD, mm	$2.82 \pm 0.42$	$3.02 \pm 0.44$	0.020
Occlusion Length, mm	$18.5 \pm 14.8$	23.9±20.5	0.14
Post Procedure( In stent)			
RVD, mm	$3.09 \pm 0.48$	$3.17 {\pm} 0.44$	0.38
MLD, mm	$2.60 {\pm} 0.46$	$2.61 \pm 0.37$	0.91
Stent Length, mm	$50.5 \pm 23.8$	$60.5 \pm 23.0$	0.040
Acute Gain, mm	$2.6 \pm 0.5$	$2.6 \pm 0.4$	0.91



# 9-month QCA Results Intimal vs. Sub-Intimal



	Intimal (100)	Sub-Intimal (22)	p value
In Stent			
RVD, mm	$3.00 \pm 0.46$	$2.95 \pm 0.41$	0.87
MLD, mm	$2.41 \pm 0.66$	2.03±0.79	0.021
% DS, %	19.8±19.1	$30.4 \pm 25.9$	0.031
Late Loss, mm	$0.21 \pm 0.52$	$0.57 \pm 0.93$	0.016
Loss Index, %	$7.8 \pm 22.6$	19.7±30.3	0.038
Reocclusion	3.0% (3)	4.5% (1)	0.55
Aneurysm	1.0% (1)	9.1% (2)	0.08

Aneurysm (from QCA core lab) = an expansion of the lumen by at least 20% compared with the normal lumen dimensions in the treatment region (analysis segment) that extends with a wide or narrow mouth beyond the apparent normal contour



### Acute QCA Results Retrograde: Intimal vs. Sub-Intimal





### 9-month QCA Results Retrograde: Intimal vs. Sub-Intimal

	Intimal 77.3% (58)	Sub-Intimal 75.0% (18)	p value
In Stent			
RVD, mm	$3.02 \pm 0.49$	$3.00 \pm 0.43$	0.86
MLD, mm	$2.32 \pm 0.73$	$1.92 \pm 0.83$	0.05
% DS, %	$23.2 \pm 20.3$	34.8±26.7	0.05
Late Loss, mm	$0.29 \pm 0.63$	0.71±0.98	0.037
Loss Index, %	$10.8 \pm 24.9$	24.6±31.4	0.06
Reocclusion	3.4% (2)	5.6% (1)	0.56
Aneurysm	1.7% (1)	11.1% (2)	0.14

Aneurysm (from QCA core lab) = an expansion of the lumen by at least 20% compared with the normal lumen dimensions in the treatment region (analysis segment) that extends with a wide or narrow mouth beyond the apparent normal contour



# Limitation



- Non randomized observational study
- Limited case number
- Relatively low rate of follow-up angiography (78.2%)
- Short follow-up period (1 year)





# J-PROCTOR Summary

- According to IVUS analysis, Sub-intimal tracking tended to be higher in retrograde approach than antegrade.
- Lesion characteristics were more severe in Sub-intimal tracking group.
- No significant difference was observed in 1year TVR rate (primary endpoint) between Intimal and Sub-intimal tracking groups, in both antegrade and retrograde approach.
- Acute QCA analysis identified longer occlusion and stent lengths in the Sub-intimal tracking group.
- FU QCA analysis showed a higher late loss in the Sub-intimal group, but no difference in re-occlusion rate.





# J-PROCTOR Conclusion

• No clinical negative impact by EES implantation after localized Sub-intimal tracking in either antegrade or retrograde manner at 1 year was demonstrated in this study.





### Lessons from J-PROCTOR

- 1. Subintimal tracking is more predictable in the retrograde approach than the antegrade. But not so common even if reverse CART is commonly used (>50%).
- 2. Occlusion length may influence the incidence of subintimal tracking in both approaches.





### CTO length and Subintimal tracking Antegrade approach

CTO length (mm) Incidence of subintimal tracking (%)



(*JACC Intv 2009;2:846-54) (**CCI 2012;79:43-48)





### CTO length and Subintimal tracking Retrograde approach

CTO length (mm) Incidence of subintimal tracking (%)



(*JACC Intv 2009;2:846-54)





### Lessons from J-PROCTOR

- 1. Subintimal tracking is more predictable in the retrograde approach than the antegrade. But not so common even if reverse CART is commonly used (>50%).
- 2. Occlusion length may influence the incidence of subintimal tracking in both approaches.
- 3. Restenosis does not always occur in DES with subintimal dilatation.



### TVR at 12 months Antegrade (Intimal vs. Sub-intimal) Retrograde (Intimal vs. Sub-intimal)







### 9-month QCA Results Retrograde: Intimal vs. Sub-Intimal

	Intimal 77.3% (58)	Sub-Intimal 75.0% (18)	p value
In Stent			
RVD, mm	$3.02 \pm 0.49$	$3.00 \pm 0.43$	0.86
MLD, mm	$2.32 \pm 0.73$	$1.92 \pm 0.83$	0.05
% DS, %	$23.2 \pm 20.3$	34.8±26.7	0.05
Late Loss, mm	$0.29 \pm 0.63$	0.71±0.98	0.037
Loss Index, %	$10.8 \pm 24.9$	24.6±31.4	0.06
Reocclusion	3.4% (2)	5.6% (1)	0.56
Aneurysm	1.7% (1)	11.1% (2)	0.14

Aneurysm (from QCA core lab) = an expansion of the lumen by at least 20% compared with the normal lumen dimensions in the treatment region (analysis segment) that extends with a wide or narrow mouth beyond the apparent normal contour



#### Epicardial channel



#### **Reverse CART**



#### **Final angiogram**

#### 9Mo Fu angiogram



#### 9Mo Fu angiogram



#### 9Mo Fu angiogram





## Lessons from J-PROCTOR

- 1. Subintimal tracking is more predictable in the retrograde approach than the antegrade. But not so common even if reverse CART is commonly used (>50%).
- 2. Occlusion length may influence the incidence of subintimal tracking in both approaches.
- 3. Restenosis does not always occur in DES with subintimal dilatation.
- 4. Short subintimal tracking and a final TIMI flow grade 3 with well preserved distal side branches may not worsen the vessel patency.
- 5. These suggestions warrants further evaluations.





# Perspective

• We're collecting 2 year follow-up clinical results.



TVR at 24 months Antegrade (Intimal vs. Sub-intimal) Retrograde (Intimal vs. Sub-intimal)







## Perspective



• We're collecting 2 year follow-up clinical results.

- We started a prospective registry through web of all CTO procedures in both antegrade and retrograde approach in 2012.
- Total 1573 cases were enrolled in 2012.





### CTO Web Registry data: 1573

- > Success: 1411 / 1573
- ✓ EES: 901 / 1411 (Antegrade: 627, Retrograde: 274)
- ✓ Matched exclusion criteria: **182** (Antegrade:143, Retrograde:39)

### Candidates for Clinical Analysis **Total: 719** (Antegrade: 484, Retrograde: 235)



# Perspective



• We're collecting 2 year follow-up clinical results.

- We started a prospective registry through web of all CTO procedures in both antegrade and retrograde approach in 2012.
- Total 1573 cases were enrolled in 2012.
- Of those, eligible IVUS data and 1 year clinical follow-up results will be evaluated and presented in 2014 as J-PROCTOR 2.





# 15th CTO Club



### June 20-21, 2014, <u>Nagoya</u>, Japan

### www.cct.gr.jp/ctoclub