Polymer-Free Biolimus-Eluting Stent in Patients with High Risk for Bleeding

Soon Jun Hong

Korea University Anam Hospital

Delivering true innovation



BioFreedom™

- Ensures effective drug release kinetics without a polymer or carrier.
- ✓ A true drug-coated stent. BA9™ coated only on the abluminal surface

This innovation is made possible by the unique combination of two Biosensors' technologies:





Proprietary BA9 drug

A stent surface micro-structured treatment (SMS)









- <u>Highest lipophilicity</u> of the common limus drugs¹
- Minimizes systemic exposure and reduces the drug circulating in the bloodstream
- Due to high lipophilicity, the drug (BA9) is <u>rapidly</u> <u>absorbed by local tissue</u>







Hypothesis and Potential advantage



Hypothesis: Polymer-free drug release via porous-eluting stents may reduce late events caused by <u>polymer</u> <u>stent coatings.</u>

- Avoid long term late adverse effects that might be attributable to <u>durable polymers</u>
- Improved surface integrity since there is no polymer to be sheared or peeled away from the stent struts
- Potential for <u>shorter DAPT regimes</u>





Vascular Transfer and Residence Time





BIOFREEDC

Five year and Final Report of BioFreedom First-In-Man, a Randomized Trial comparing Polymer-Free BioFreedom[™] stents with Durable Polymer Taxus Liberté[™] Stents

Presented at TCT 2014

On behalf of the BioFreedom FIM investigators: Eberhard Grube, Ralf Mueller, Gerhard Schuler, Karl-Eugen Hauptmann, Joachim Schofer, Carlo Di Mario





BioFreedom FIM Trial Design



Angiographic and IUVS Follow-up

Primary Endpoint:In-stent Late Lumen Loss (LLL) at 12 months2Key 2° Endpoints:In-stent LLL at 4-months1MACE*/ST rate at 30 days, 4 months, and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 yearsClinically-driven TLR, TVR and TVF at 4 and 12 months, and 2, 3, 4, 5 yrsIn-stent/In-segment binary restenosis at 4 months1/12 months2In-stent/In-segment Minimum Lumen Diameter (MLD) at 4 months1/12 months2In-stent, proximal and distal LLL at 4 months1/12 months2Neointimal hyperplasia volume at 4 months1/12 months2BA9 concentrations at pre-/post-procedure, discharge and 30 days / 4 months*MACE defined as Death, MI, emergent bypass surgery or TLR

DAPT recommended for a minimum of 6 months



BioFreedom FIM Trial Design





Baseline Clinical Demographics All Patients – 1st and 2nd Cohorts (N=182)

Variable	BFD SD N = 60	BFD LD N = 62	TAXUS N = 60
Age (mean ± SD)	68.6 ± 9.0	65.0 ± 9.4	67.9 ± 8.0
Male (%)	67	76	67
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	28	29	25
Current Smoker (%)	17	20	12
Hypertension (%)	90	81	85
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	68	74	75
Previous MI (%)	20	21	18
Previous PCI (%)	32	44	46
Unstable Angina (%)	12	13	7



In-Stent LLL at <u>4-month Follow-up</u> 1st Cohort – Secondary Endpoint





In-Stent LLL at <u>12-month Follow-up</u> 2nd Cohort – Primary Endpoint





1399-000-EN - Rev.01

11

BIDFreederm FIM

60-month Clinical Outcomes All Patients – 1st and 2nd Cohorts (95.8%)

Event	BFD SD N = 60	BFD LD N = 62	TAXUS N = 60
MACE (All Death, MI, Emergent Bypass or TLR)	14 (23.8%)	16 (26.4%)	12 (20.3%)
All Death	5 (8.5%)	7 (11.6%)	4 (6.9%)
Cardiac Death	3 (5.2%)	2 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)
MI	3 (5.3%)	2 (3.3%)	2 (3.5%)
Q Wave MI	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.8%)
Non-Q Wave MI	3 (5.3%)	2 (3.3%)	1 (1.7%)
Emergent Bypass	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)
TLR	6 (10.8%)	9(15.1%)	7(11.9%)
Definite / Probable Stent Thrombosis (ARC)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)





Needs for DES in Patients at High Risk of Bleeding: A Forgotten Population In DES Trials







Patients at High Bleeding Risk (HBR)







DAPT duration after stenting



DAPT duration after stenting



DAPT duration after stenting





Biolimus-Coated vs. Bare-Metal Coronary Stents in High Bleeding Risk Patients



High Bleeding Risk Patients (HBR)

- Mostly excluded from device trials
- Never specifically studied
- Current guideline recommendations:
 - BMS + one month DAPT
 - DES + "shortened" DAPT





Participating Countries







Inclusion Criteria Applied (n=2466) (i.e. <u>Reasons for High Bleeding Risk</u>)



Single main inclusion criterion







Baseline Demographics (n=2466)

- 68 centers in Europe, Asia, Australia and Canada
- Mean age <u>76±9 years</u>
- o <u>70% male</u>
- Presentation:
 - o 58% stable angina
 - o 15% unstable angina
 - o 23% NSTEMI
 - o 4% STEMI





Urban P., poster presentation TCT2014 Data are currently only partially monitored and subject to changes prior to definitive reporting



Vascular Access







LEADERS FREE

Hypothesis

For patients with a high bleeding risk, using one month DAPT, can the BioFreedom DCS be shown to be as safe and more effective than a Gazelle BMS?

LEADERS FREE Trial Design

Prospective, multi-center, multi-national, double blinded randomized trial <u>High Bleeding Risk PCI population</u> (ACS + Elective stable patients) PI: P. Urban



Primary safety endpoint: <u>Composite of cardiac death</u>, MI, definite/probable stent thrombosis at 1 year (non inferiority)

Primary efficacy endpoint: <u>Clinically driven TLR</u> at 1 year (Superiority)

DAPT mandated for 1 month only, followed by long term SAPT





Inclusion Criteria (One or More)

- Age \geq 75 years
- OAC planned after PCI
- Baseline Hb < 11g / dl or transfusion during prior 4 weeks
- Planned major surgery (within next year)
- Cancer diagnosed or treated \leq 3 years
- Creatinine clearance < 40 ml / min
- Hospital admission for bleeding during past year
- Thrombocytopenia (< 100.000 / mm3)
- Any prior intra-cerebral bleed
- Any stroke during the past year
- Severe liver disease

- NSAID or steroids planned after PCI
- Anticipated poor DAPT compliance for other medical reason



Determination of Trial Size

Predicted event rates in BMS control arm

- Composite safety endpoint (cardiac death, MI and ST) 8%
- Efficacy endpoint (clinically-driven TLR) 10%

Patients per group: 1228

Endpoints

- Safety:
 - > 80% power to demonstrate non-inferiority with margin 3.2%
- Efficacy:
 - > 80% power to detect a 3.3% reduction in c-TLR

Both with one-sided alpha 0.025









Inclusion Criteria Applied (1.7 criteria / patient)





Significance of LEADERS FREE Trial

- This is the first time that a PCI population characterized by an <u>increased bleeding risk</u> is specifically evaluated.
- Included patients are extremely <u>different from "all-</u> <u>comers</u>" in terms of <u>their advanced age and</u> <u>associated major comorbidities</u>.
- The trial is primarily designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety benefits of the BioFreedom DCS in this population, but it will also help to better define the relative thrombotic and bleeding risks that are faced by all "HBR" patients.





Baseline Characteristics

	DCS (%)	BMS (%)
Mean age	75.7 + 9.4	75.7+9.3
Female gender	29.8	30.9
BMI	27.5 ± 4.8	27.2 ± 4.6
Diabetes	34.0	32.3
NSTEMI presentation	22.4	23.2
STEMI presentation	4.7	4.0
Prior MI	19.6	21.4
Prior PCI	22.2	21.9
Prior CABG	9.4	10.1
Multivessel CAD	62.9	61.6
Congestive heart failure	14.4	12.4
Atrial fibrillation	34.9	34.6
Peripheral vascular disease	15.7	15.8
Chronic obstructive lung disease	10.9	11.7

None of the baseline characteristics differ at p < 0.05



Index Procedure

	DCS (%)	BMS (%)
Radial access	60.7	58.7
Staged procedure	4.5	5.9
Multi-lesion procedure	37.8	35.3
Multi-vessel procedure	21.8	21.4
Number of treated lesions / patient	1.6 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.9
LMS	3.0	3.9
SVG	1.4	1.8
Bifurcation	14.9	16.0
ISR	2.4	2.6
СТО	5.0	4.4

None of the procedure characteristics differ at p < 0.05



Index Procedure (Continued)

	DCS	BMS
Mean stent diameter	3.0 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.4
Mean total implanted stent length / patient	34.5 ± 23.1	33.4 ± 23.4
Mean number of stents implanted / patient	1.9 ± 1.1	1.8 ± 1.2
Lesion success	97.7	98.0
Device success	97.7	97.6
Procedure success	94.4	93.7
UFH during procedure	90.5	89.4
LMWH during procedure	8.4	8.8
Bivalirudin during procedure	1.1	1.8
2b3a blocker during procedure	2.0	1.2

None of the procedure characteristics differ at p < 0.05



Antithrombotic Medication at Discharge



JCR 2015

Primary Efficacy Endpoint (Clinically-Driven TLR)



390 days chosen for assessing primary EP to capture potential evens driven by the 360 day FU contact



Primary Efficacy Endpoint

Primary Efficacy Endpoint	DCS (n=1221)	BMS (n=1211)
Clinically driven TLR at 390 days	59 (5.1%)	113 (9.8%)

Difference:

- -4.8% (95% CI = -6.9% to -2.6%)
- <u>HR 0.50, (95% CI = 0.37 0.69)</u>
- p<0.001 for superiority



Secondary Efficacy Endpoints



JCR 2015

Primary Safety Endpoint (Cardiac Death, MI, ST)



390 days chosen for assessing primary EP to capture potential events driven by the 360 day FU contact



Primary Safety Endpoint

Primary Safety Endpoint*	DCS (n=1221)	BMS (n=1211)
Cardiac Death, Myocardial Infarction, or Stent Thrombosis at 390 days	112 (9.4%)	154 (12.9%)

Risk difference:

- -3.6% (95% CI -6.1% to -1.0%)
- <u>HR 0.71</u>, (95% CI = 0.56 0.91)
- p < 0.0001 for non-inferiority
- p = 0.005 for superiority

* 3rd Universal definition of MI, Thygesen K et al Circulation 2012;126:2020 –2035 ARC definition, Cutlip D et al. Circulation 2007; 115: 2344-51



Components of Safety Endpoint







Selected Secondary Safety Endpoints



41

Subgroups

Composite safety endpoint (cardiac death, MI, ST)

Category		DCS: Events (%)	BMS: Events (%)		P-value for interaction
Age >80	No Yes	65 (8.3) 47 (11.5)	92 (11.6) 62 (15.5)		0.86
Male	No Yes	34 (9.6) 78 (9.3)	53 (14.4) 101 (12.3)		0.59
ACS at admission	No yes	82 (9.4) 30 (9.3)	95 (10.9) 59 (18.5)		0.04
Diabetes	No Yes	65 (8.3) 47 (11.5)	93 (11.5) 61 (15.9)		0.90
Renal failure at admission	No Yes	73 (8.3) 31 (14.7)	89 (10.4) 53 (22.2)	_	0.46
Planed OAC at randomization	No Yes	66 (8.7) 46 (10.5)	100 (13.0) 54 (12.8)	_	0.44
Crusade score > median (35)	No Yes	33 (6.4) 63 (13.6)	48 (9.1) 88 (18.6)		0.86
Anemia, transfusion or bleeding leading to hospitalization	No Yes	84 (8.5) 28 (13.6)	113 (11.4) 41 (20.3)		0.63
Planned major surgery in following year	No yes	93 (9.4) 16 (8.4)	123 (12.7) 27 (12.9)	_	0.74
Cancer in last 3 years*	No yes	101 (9.3) 11 (9.6)	139 (12.9) 15 (12.9)		0.87
Multi-vessel disease at admission	No yes	24 (5.4) 84 (11.4)	39 (8.6) 112 (15.4)		0.64
Total stent length > 30 mm	No Yes	54 (8.0) 56 (10.9)	68 (9.6) 82 (17.4)		0.19
Minimal stent diameter < 3 mm	No Yes	49 (8.3) 61 (10.2)	59 (10.1) 91 (15.3)		0.33
				.125 .25 .5 1 2	4

JCR 2015

Hazard Ratio (95% CI)



Subgroups (continued)

Efficacy endpoint (clinically driven TLR)

Category		Ν	DCS: Events (%)	BMS: Events (%)		P-value for interaction
Age >80	No Yes	1602 830	31 (4.0) 28 (7.1)	72 (9.4) 41 (10.6)		0.17
Male	No Yes	738 1694	17 (5.0) 42 (5.1)	33 (9.3) 80 (10.0)	e	0.92
ACS at admission	No yes	1773 659	47 (5.5) 12 (3.9)	86 (10.1) 27 (9.0)	_	0.55
Diabetes	No Yes	1622 805	40 (5.3) 19 (4.7)	74 (9.4) 39 (10.7)	_—	0.57
Renal failure at admission	No Yes	1754 466	42 (4.9) 16 (7.9)	88 (10.6) 15 (6.7)	e	0.02
Planed OAC at randomization	No Yes	1553 879	39 (5.3) 20 (4.7)	80 (10.7) 33 (8.2)		0.61
Crusade score > median (35)	No Yes	1061 962	21 (4.1) 33 (7.5)	56 (10.7) 39 (8.7)	e	0.02
Anemia, transfusion or bleeding leading to hospitalization	No Yes	2007 425	41 (4.2) 18 (9.2)	95 (9.9) 18 (9.6)		0.03
Planned major surgery in following year	No yes	2002 404	49 (5.1) 8 (4.3)	89 (9.5) 23 (11.5)	_	0.43
Cancer in last 3 years*	No yes	2193 239	55 (5.2) 4 (3.5)	102 (9.8) 11 (9.8)	_	0.59
Multi-vessel disease at admission	No yes	906 1493	12 (2.8) 46 (6.5)	28 (6.4) 84 (12.0)		0.64
Total stent length > 30 mm	No Yes	1409 999	21 (3.2) 38 (7.6)	51 (7.4) 61 (13.6)	e	0.48
Minimal stent diameter < 3 mm	No Yes	1195 1213	26 (4.5) 33 (5.7)	41 (7.2) 71 (12.4)		0.26

Hazard Ratio (95% CI)

LEADERS

43







Conclusions

 LEADERS FREE is the first randomized clinical trial dedicated to HBR patients

 Such patients are often excluded from stent and drug trials, constitute a rapidly growing proportion of PCI candidates and suffer high event rates

Together with a one-month only DAPT course, the use of a BA9-DCS was both significantly safer and more effective than a control BMS in HBR patients



Thank You For Your Attention!

Procedural Data All patients – 1st and 2nd Cohorts (N=182)

Variable	BFD SD N = 60	BFD LD N = 62	TAXUS N = 60
Stents per Patient (mean ± SD)	1.1 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.2
Predilatation (%)	88	92	92
Postdilatation (%)	18	23	22
Final TIMI 3 Flow (%)	100	100	100
Device Success (%)	97	100	100
Lesion Success (%)	100	100	100
Procedural Success (%)	100	98 (1 non-Q MI)	100

Pre-procedural QCA Analysis All Lesions – 1st and 2nd Cohorts (N=182)

Variable	BFD SD N = 59	BFD LD N = 63	TAXUS N = 60
Lesion Length (mm)	10.6 [9.3, 13.9]	11.3 [9.8, 13.6]	11.2 [9.5, 14.0]
RVD (mm)	2.8 [2.5, 3.0]	2.8 [2.5, 3.0]	2.8 [2.5, 3.0]
MLD (mm)	0.6 [0.3, 0.9]	0.6 [0.4, 0.9]	0.7 [0.5, 0.9]
% DS	76.0 [64.3, 87.6]	77.2 [67.0, 85.8]	75.9 [67.2, 83.6]

Final QCA Analysis All Lesions – 1st and 2nd Cohorts (N=182)

Variable	BFD SD N = 59	BFD LD N = 63	TAXUS N = 60
Acute Gain (mm)			
In-segment	1.6 [1.3, 2.0]	1.6 [1.4, 1.8]	1.6 [1.3, 2.0]
In-stent	2.0 [1.6, 2.2]	1.9 [1.7, 2.2]	1.9 [1.7, 2.2]
MLD (mm)			
In-segment	2.3 [2.0, 2.5]	2.2 [2.1, 2.5]	2.2 [2.0, 2.6]
In-stent	2.7 [2.3, 2.8]	2.6 [2.3, 2.8]	2.6 [2.4, 2.8]
% Diameter Stenosis			
In-segment	17.2 [9.4, 24.3]	16.9 [12.0, 23.0]	19.1 [12.0, 24.0]
In-stent	6.2 [3.9, 11.5]	7.4 [4.5, 9.9]	6.1 [3.6, 9.4]

BioFreedom™ Clinical trial Overview

BIOFREEDOM	ŤŤ	٩	1:1:1 Pandomized trial	X	\bigotimes	DAPT
FIM	182	GERMANY	testing doses of BA9™ vs. TAXUS® Liberté®	In-stent late lumen loss at 12 months	Study completed 5-year follow-up	Minimum 6 months
LEADERS FREE	Ť,Ť,Ť	(1:1 Randomized	1. Composite safety (cardiac death,	0	DAPT
	2466	EUROPE + CANADA Australia + Asia	multicenter vs. Gazelle™BMS	MI, def/prob ST) 2. ci-TLR at 12 months	Enrolment completed	1 month
LEADERS FREE	ŧ	9	RELEASE RESK	1. Composite safety endpoint (cardiac death,	0	DAPT
JAPAN	140	JAPAN	Single arm trial	MI, def/prob ST) 2. ci-TLR at 12 months	Enrolment completed	1 month
BIOFREEDOM	ŧ	٩		MACE, ST & in-stent	0	DAPT
USA	100	USA	Single arm trial	late lumen loss at 9 months	Enrolment completed	3 months
EGO BIOFREEDOM	÷	٩			0	
	100	HONG KONG	Single center registry	from 1 to 9 months, assessed by OCT	Enrolment completed	