QFR : Current Evidences and Future Perspectives

Ki Hong Choi, MD

Heart Vascular Stroke Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea



What is QFR?



3D model reconstructed from 2 angiographic projections with angles ≥ 25° apart. Patient-specific volumetric flow rate calculated using the combination of contrast bolus front TIMI frame count and 3D QCA In-procedure time: < 5 min</p>

SAMSUNG

Evidences of QFR

- First-in-Man Study of FFR_{QCA}-

JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS © 2014 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC. VOL. 7, NO. 7, 2014 ISSN 1936-8798/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2014.03.004

Fractional Flow Reserve Calculation From 3-Dimensional Quantitative Coronary Angiography and TIMI Frame Count

A Fast Computer Model to Quantify the Functional Significance of Moderately Obstructed Coronary Arteries

Shengxian Tu, PHD,* Emanuele Barbato, MD, PHD,† Zsolt Köszegi, MD, PHD,‡ Junqing Yang, MD,§ Zhonghua Sun, MD,|| Niels R. Holm, MD,¶ Balázs Tar, MD,‡ Yingguang Li, MSc,* Dan Rusinaru, MD,† William Wijns, MD, PHD,† Johan H.C. Reiber, PHD*

Leiden, the Netherlands; Aalst, Belgium; Nyiregyyhaza, Hungary; Guangzhou and Tianjin, China; and Skejby, Denmark



Stable patients with intermediate (40-70%) de novo stenosis and underwent FFR





Evidences of QFR

- FAVOR Series -



Favor II Europe-Japan

272 Stable angina with FFR assessment (30-90% stenosis)

	QFR	2D-OCA	P Value	
Accuracy	86.8%	65.9%	<0.001	
AUC	0.92 (0.89-0.96)	0.64 (0.57-0.70)	<0.001	
Sensitivity	86.5 (78.4-92.4)	44.2 (34.5-54.3)	<0.001	
Specificity	86.9 (81.6-91.1)	76.5 (70.3-82.0)	0.002	
PPV	76.3 (67.6-83.6)	47.9 (37.6-58.4)	<0.001	
NPV	93.0 (88.5-96.1)	73.8 (67.4–79.4)	0.001	
LR (+)	6.58 (4.62-9.37)	1.88 (1.36-2.61)	<0.001	
LR ()	0.16 (0.09-0.25)	0.73 (0.61-0.88)	0.001	



Xu et al. JACC 2017

Westra et al. JAHA 2018



Another Method of Angiography-Derived FFR

- FAST FFR Study -



SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

S A M S U N G

FFR_{angio} from CathWorks Ltd.

Evidences of QFR

- Study-Level Meta-Analysis -



CLINICAL RESEARCH

Diagnostic performance of angiography-derived fractional flow reserve: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis

Carlos Collet^{1,2}, Yoshinobu Onuma^{3,4}, Jeroen Sonck², Taku Asano¹, Bert Vandeloo², Ran Kornowski⁵, Shengxian Tu⁶, Jelmer Westra⁷, Niels R. Holm⁷, Xu Bo⁸, Robbert J. de Winter¹, Jan G. Tijssen¹, Yosuke Miyazaki⁴, Yuki Katagiri¹, Erhan Tenekecioglu⁴, Rodrigo Modolo¹, Ply Chichareon¹, Bernard Cosyns², Daniel Schoors², Bram Roosens², Stijn Lochy², Jean-Francois Argacha², Alexandre van Rosendael⁹, Jeroen Bax⁹, Johan H.C. Reiber^{10,11}, Javier Escaned¹², Bernard De Bruyne¹³, William Wijns¹⁴, and Patrick W. Serruys¹⁵* The accuracy of angiography derived FFR was good to detect hemodynamically significant lesions with pressure-wire measured FFR as a reference.

* No difference according to different software and different computational methods

A Linear regression analysis





QFR in Real World Practice

- Advantages & Disadvantages -						
Advantages	Disadvantages					
1. Non-invasive procedure	1. Calibration failure (We don't know how to prevent)					
2. No need of pressure wire or						
hyperemic agent	2. Cannot measure if the image quality is poor (Overlap, Tortuous					
3. Real-time online measure	lesion, Poor contrast filling, No optimal projections > 25 degree)					
4. Easy to measure in all 3 vessels						
	3. Angiographic limitation					
5. Easy to perform the retrospective analysis	(LM ostial lesion, RCA ostial lesion, myocardial bridging)					
6. Per-stenosis QFR ls possible	4. Limited Outcome Data					



- Calibration Failure -



In SMC database, 10.1% (49/483 vessels) of lesions cannot assess due to calibration failure.



- QFR do not reflect the status of coronary microcirculation -

Microvascular Dysfunction

248 Stable angina with FFR, CFR, and IMR assessment (Including Samsung Medical Center Data)



TABLE 5 Predictors of Disagreement Between QFR and FFR

	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis		
	OR	95% CI	p Value	OR	95% CI	p Value
ACS	2.61	1.24-5.48	0.01	3.97	1.78-8.86	0.001
Smoker	1.99	0.96-4.16	0.06	-	-	-
Multivessel disease	2.18	0.96-4.94	0.06	-	-	-
Proximal or mid segment*	0.45	0.20-1.00	0.05	-	-	-
%DS	1.02	0.99-1.05	0.19	-	-	-
MLD	0.51	0.23-1.14	0.10	-	-	-
IMR†	1.04	1.02-1.07	0.01	1.05	1.02-1.08	0.001
Pa‡	0.98	0.95-0.99	0.04	-	-	-

Hernan, Lee JM et al. JACC: Cardiovascular Intervention 2018

Previous MI Related Artery

150 intermediate coronary artery disease with FFR assessment (40-70% stenosis)



B. Non-prior-MI-related coronary arteries



Asano et al. Eurointervention 2018



- Ostial Lesion -



LM Ostial lesion cannot be analyzed. (No proximal reference segment)

RCA Ostial lesion cannot be analyzed. (No proximal reference segment)

In SMC database, 7.2% (35/483 vessels) of lesions cannot assess due to LM or RCA ostial lesion.



- Tortuous Lesion -



In SMC database, 1.5% (7/483 vessels) cannot be assessed due to severe tortuousity.



- Myocardial Bridging -





Technical Limitation of QFR

QFR analysis is unreliable or impossible in following conditions

<SMC database, 25.7% (124/483) of lesions cannot be assessed QFR>

- Too much overlap of target vessel
- Too much foreshortening of the target coronary artery
- Ostial lesions
- Tortuous lesions
- Very severe lesions might lead to very low QFR value, much lower than the corresponding FFR. Clinical decision will be the same.



Unclarified Reliability of QFR to date

The safety and effectiveness of the QFR has not been evaluated for patients with the following conditions

- Unstable angina (ongoing researches)
- Acute myocardial infarction (ongoing researches)
- > Hyper-dynamic heart
- Atrial fibrillation
- > Ostial lesions
- > True bifurcation lesions (1,1,1 Medina classification)
- Jailed side branch
- Vessels with retrograde fillings
- Grafted coronary arteries
- > Non-coronary arteries (ex> LIMA-LAD, Saphenous graft)

<QFR for Non-culprit Lesion in AMI Patients>



<QFR for Non-culprit Lesion in AMI Patients>









ŚAMSUNG

<QFR for Non-culprit Lesion in AMI Patients>

- Correlation Between QFR and FFR According to Clinical Presentation -



P value = 0.974 for comparison of correlation coefficients between SIHD and AMI non-culprit vessel.

SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

S A M S U N G.

<QFR for Non-culprit Lesion in AMI Patients>

- Predicting Ability for Functional Significance -



SAMSUNG MEDICAL CENTER

S A M S U N G

Summary & Future Perspectives

- Fast computation of FFR from coronary angiography (QFR) without pressure wire or hyperemic agents is feasible and reliable.
- Contrast-flow QFR (cQFR) showed similar diagnostic performance with adenosine-QFR, and showed superior diagnostic performance than fixed-QFR.
- QFR has been validated only in patients with **Stable Ischemic Heart Disease**.
- Currently, the reliability of QFR for patients with AMI (non-culprit), or specific lesion subsets (such as bifurcation, and diffuse disease) are ongoing.
- Our group is planning to identify the association between post-PCI 3-vessel
 QFR and increase of exercise performance after PCI.
- Validation of clinical outcomes of QFR-guided PCI is needed (FAVOR III China)



63 years old male 2006.07.18 s/p Heart Transplantation d/t ICMP 2006.09.28 CAG : proximal LAD 70% stenosis s/p PCI (Cypher 3.5x28mm)





2018.11.06 CAG was performed d/t newly developed RWMA (LAD territory) CAG: ostium to proximal LAD - diffuse stenosis up to 70% Mid LAD - ISR CTO







PCI was performed LM to proximal LAD – 3.5x24mm Synergy mLAD – 3.0x30mm DEB x2EA







Why did this lesion progress? We can find the clue in the past CAG (2012.09.11)



What do you think about the functional significance of this lesion?





This lesion might be a functionally significant lesion in 2012. Hemodynamic significance of the lesion might have affected the lesion progression.

This is one of the advantages of functional coronary angiography for understanding the lesion progression and subsequent outcomes



Thank You For Your Attention !

Ki Hong Choi, MD

Clinical Fellow, Heart Vascular Stroke Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea

