JCR 2019

12/14/2019

MitraClip in Mitral Regurgitation

Shunsuke Kubo Department of Cardiology Kurashiki Central Hospital, Japan





MitraClip History





ESC Guideline 2017

6. Mit	tral regurgitation	
6.1	Primary mitral regurgitation	
	6.1.1 Evaluation	
	n	
	6.1.3 Medical therapy 2	
	6.1.4 Serial testing	

For primary (degenerative) MR...

- Surgery = Class I or IIa
- MitraClip = Class IIb in patients with multiple comorbidity



NUIASIIINI UTILIAI MUSPILAI

MitraClip for Degenerative MR

<u>5 Years Follow-up of EVERST II Trial (\Rightarrow 80% of pts were degenerative MR)</u>



- Equivalent mortality for 5 years
- Equivalent MR recurrence beyond 6 months



Feldman T et al. JACC 2015. 29: 2844-54.

Anatomical Consideration in DMR

P2 Prolapse





P3 Prolapse





ACOM Prolapse





P2 prolapse (90 years, male, STS 9.5, NYHA 2)

"xplane view"



"3D TEE"



P2 prolapse with chordae rupture





- ✓ Trivial MR after 1 clip deployment
- ✓ Procedure time 67 min, Anesthesia time : 123 min
- ✓ Discharge 3 days after procedure
- ✓ No symptom



P3 Prolapse (89 years, female, STS 7.7, NYHA 3)



✓ P3 prolapse with chordae rupture✓ Non-central MR





- ✓ Mild MR after 1 clip deployment
- ✓ Procedure time 68 min, Anesthesia time : 130 min
- ✓ Discharge 4 days after the procedure
- ✓ No symptom



Degenerative MR: MitraClip Candidate

Inoperable or high surgical risk patients with suitable anatomy





ESC Guideline 2017: Secondary MR

6. Mi	tral regurgitation	
6.2	Secondary mitral regurgitation	
	6.2.1 Evaluation	
	6.2.3 Medical therapy	

For isolated secondary (functional) MR...

- Surgery = Class IIb in LVEF >30%
- MitraClip = Class IIb regardless of LVEF

Scarce evidence of FMR reduction !

When revascularization is not indicated, surgery may be considered in patients with severe secondary mitral regurgitation and LVEF >30% who remain symptomatic despite optimal medical management (including CRT if indicated) and have a low surgical risk.	IIb	С	
When revascularization is not indicated and surgical risk is not low, a percutaneous edge-to-edge procedure may be considered in patients with severe secondary mitral regurgitation and LVEF >30% who remain symptomatic despite optimal medical man- agement (including CRT if indicated) and who have a suitable valve morphology by echocardiography, avoiding futility.	IIb	C	
In patients with severe secondary mitral regurgitation and LVEF <30% who remain symptomatic despite optimal medical management (including CRT if indicated) and who have no option for revasculariza- tion, the Heart Team may consider a percu- taneous edge-to-edge procedure or valve surgery after careful evaluation for a ventric- ular assist device or heart transplant accord- ing to individual patient characteristics.	Шь	с	Hosp

COAPT Trial

A Hospitalization for Heart Failure



MitraClip reduce not only HF hospitalization but also all-cause and cardiac mortality.

Stone GW, et al. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(24):2307-2318.

Mitra-FR Trial



Non significant difference in mortality and HF hospitalization between OMT and MitraClip

Obadia JF, et al. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(24):2297-2306.

Potential Reasons of Difference

	Mitra-FR (n=304)	COAPT (n=614)
Severe MR Definition	ESC guidelines EROA>0.2cm ² or RV>30ml Mean EROA = 0.31±10 cm ²	US guidelines EROA>0.3cm ² or RV>45ml Mean EROA = 0.41±15 cm ²
LVEDV (ml)	135±35 ml/m ²	101±34 ml/m ²
Guideline directed medial therapy	Real-world practice ⇒Change of regimen from baseline to Follow-up	CEC confirmed maximum DMT before enroll ⇒No change from baseline to follow-up
Acute results: No clip/≥3+ MR	9% / 9%	5% / 5%
Procedural complications	14.6%	8.5%
12 month f/u MR ≥3+	17%	5%



Which FMR Should be Treated ?

EROA vs LVEDV at LVEF 30%, RF 50% 0.60 -**Disproportionately Severe MR** 0.50 proportionately Severe MR COAPT 0.40 EROA (cm²) Mitra-FR 0.30 0.20 **Non-Severe MR** 0.10 0.00 100 150 200 250 300 350 LV End-Diastolic Volume (ml)



Grayburn P, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018 Dec 6.

Ischemic MR: 74 years, male

Clinical Presentation

• Dyspnea (NYHA class 4), Orthopnea

Medial History

- CKD (eGFR = 25)
- AMI (PCI for LAD/LCX with IABP), no apparent ischemia
- 2 times HF hospitalization within 6 months
- STS score = 10.4%

Medication

Enalapril 2.5mg, Carvedilol 10mg, DAPT, Spironolactone 25mg, Tolvaptan 22.5mg, Azosemide 60mg

Key TTE data

LVEDV=126 ml/m², EF31%, MVA=5.56cm², EROA=0.56cm²



"1st Clip Implantation"



Deploy the 1st clip medial A2/P2. MR improved from severe to moderate. No MS and prepare the 2nd clip.



"2nd Clip Implantation"



Deploy the 2nd clip just lateral to the 1st clip MR decreased to trivial Mean PG = 3mmHg



Follow-up TTE

Dyspnea and orthopnea disappeared after the procedure Discharged 1 week after the procedure. NYHA class I at 1 year follow-up.



Trivial- MR, MS (-), LVEF = 24%



FMR: MitraClip Candidate

"True" severe MR patients assessed by quantitative PISA method LV/RV disease is not so advanced



Summary

- MitraClip therapy is effective for the high risk degenerative MR, but technical difficulty depends of the anatomy.
- COAPT and Mitra-FR trials provided us the optimal patient selection of the MitraClip for functional MR.
- If patient selection and procedural quality are optimal, patient will have a good clinical course.

